

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/843,036	Applicant(s) TRAPANI ET AL.
	Examiner Quoc A. Tran	Art Unit 2176

All Participants:

(1) Quoc A. Tran (USPTO).

(2) Joseph A. Herndon (Attorney).

Date of Interview: 20 October 2008

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Yes

Claims discussed:

1-19, 23-35, 37-47

Prior art documents discussed:

Bickmore; Hirsch; Chen and Hanson

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner is invited to contract the undersigned for any reason related to the advancement of this case. Applicant's Attorney agrees to the following: In the claims, Cancelling claims 16-40 and 45-47 and In the Specification amending the paragraph spanning Pages 57 and 58 to satisfy the 101 requirements. The claims and the Specifications have been amended in accordance with the substance of the telephone interview. Favorable consideration of the pending claims and passing them allowance is agreed upon.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Quoc A. Tran/
Examiner, Art Unit 2176

Status of Application: _____

(3) George Lee (Attorney).

(4) _____

Time: 10AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

